

RAGE AGAINST THE MACHINE



The California Department of Education has rolled out the K-12 health curriculum framework to conform to the state's LGBTQ affirming sex education law (the CA Healthy Youth Act).

The new "health" guidelines include an emphasis on normalizing and encouraging multiple partner lifestyles. The EXACT opposite of what every healthcare organization and professional association has recommended for the last century.

There are mountains of evidence which demonstrate that the **single greatest risk factor for contracting a sexually transmitted infection is the NUMBER OF LIFETIME PARTNERS.**

Nevertheless, all K-12 students in California public schools will now be told, "Some students may be non-monogamous" and instructs teachers that "the term 'partner(s)' may be used to be more inclusive."

Christian/Catholic/Jewish/Muslim and other conservative-minded parents should be aware that these health lessons will undermine religious values such as marital fidelity, abstinence outside of marriage and celebrating the differences between males and females.

Students will also be told the types of abuse they should avoid include "emotional, physical, sexual or spiritual."

For example, the Framework describes "religious abuse" as,

- *Forcing others to adhere to rigid gender roles.*
- *Not allowing a partner to do things they enjoy.*
- *Imply[ing] that students should have only one sex partner at a time.*

HOW CAN PARENTS RESPOND?

THERE ARE 3 OPTIONS: OPT-OUT, GET OUT OR STAND OUT.

"Opt Out" Parents can request (must be in writing) that their child not participate in the Comprehensive Sex Education lessons taking place in 7th and 9th grade. Be aware, however, that opting out of CSE will not exclude your child from leftist indoctrination they will receive in other subjects.

"Get Out." For some parents, this agenda is the last straw which tilts the scales toward a homeschool or private school choice. Either of those options will have multiple impacts on families that have to carefully considered.

"Stand Out." If a majority of parents can be persuaded to fight the system by making the following accommodation requests, CA schools will be forced to adapt. Fortunately, parents have both SCIENCE and THE LAW on their side.

- ✓ It is a SCIENTIFIC FACT that the prefrontal cortex (the higher reasoning part of the brain) is not fully developed until age 25. Suggesting and encouraging children to make life-altering decisions before they have the capacity to understand the long-term consequences of them is both anti-science and potentially, child abuse.
- ✓ It is a SCIENTIFIC FACT that the HEALTHIEST sexual behavior is one uninfected, faithful,

(PARENTS RAGE, CONT.)


lifetime partner. It is reasonable to expect and demand that's educators teach RISK-AVOIDANCE, not Risk-Reduction.

- ✓ The LAW protects parents ultimate responsibility for imparting values regarding human sexuality [CA Education Code § 51937]
- ✓ The LAW allows parents to control their child's moral instruction [CA Education Code § 51240]
- ✓ The LAW allow parents to exercise my child's right to bodily privacy. This requires the school to provide an alternative restroom or private changing area for **any student** who desires increased privacy, regardless of the underlying reason.

Parents who object or opt-out should expect pushback, and potentially, bullying. We recommend you don't go alone to any meeting with administrators or teachers. Take another parent, and wherever possible, take a legal adviser. Also, ask for permission to record the conversation (required in CA). You can get some guidance by contacting Alliance Defending Freedom or the Pacific Justice League.

You can read the entire CA framework at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ci/he/cf/>

Me when my child says she "cleaned her room."



COACH'S CORNER



At a parent meeting recently, some moms were discussing an event that happened at Disneyland. Mom and her 4 year old daughter were in line behind a man wearing a dress. As they do, the child couldn't stop staring, and finally asked, "Is that a man?" The female companion of the man in the dress smiled a friendly smile and told the youngster, "Yes. You get to wear pants, right? He likes to wear dresses. They make him happy. You want him to be happy, right?"

The little girl naturally agreed, but Mom was frozen. She wanted to say *something*, but she didn't want to make a scene or frighten her child by overreacting. So instead she said nothing.

The other moms listening to her account suggested it would have been "best just to leave." (If you know that's not what I recommended, you get the bonus points!)

With dopamine washing over her just from being in *The Happiest Place On Earth*, that moment is now burned into the little girl's mind. Her little brain now has a positive connection to the idea of men wearing dresses.

Another reaction would have been to have a values-focused discussion (away from the line, but soon after the ride) about respecting other people's choices even when we don't agree with them. Dopamine would still be present to hardwire the neural connection when Mom took the time to impart her values. But this would also affirm Mom is the first best source to go to in the future.

**MAY BE COPIED WITHOUT PERMISSION.
TO SCHEDULE A PRESENTATION, SINGLES SEMINAR OR
MARRIAGE RETREAT.**

**Call 818-357-2050 OR EMAIL
JUSTASK@PositivelyWaiting.com**

We APPRECIATE YOUR HELP!



**Donate to
Positively Waiting**

PositivelyWaiting.com

If you like to shop on Amazon, please LOGIN at
smile.amazon.com/ch/30-0222447
When you #StartWithaSmile, Amazon donates 0.5% of the purchase price to Positively Waiting.