

DÉJÀ VU ALL OVER AGAIN

It's like déjà vu all over again.

In 1998, there was a ton of research which demonstrated a significant link between first-pregnancy, 2nd trimester abortions and breast cancer. It was called the Abortion Breast Cancer (or ABC) link, and the data showed a correlation stronger than “smoking causes lung cancer” (which up to that point was the gold standard of causality: meaning only fools and charlatans tried to refute it.)

How long the pregnancy lasts

1-8 weeks = 3% risk increase
9-12 weeks = 14% risk increase
13+ weeks = 95% risk increase


But the pro-abortion machine came out in full force to dispute the ABC link, discredit the methodology, and destroy the researchers. They were wildly successful at suppressing it. To this day, you can safely bet your house that if you ask any woman if her OB/GYN has ever mentioned the ABC link, her answer will be “no.” I know this for a fact, because I routinely ask the question at our parent presentations.

The majority of 1st-pregnancy-second trimester abortions are among teenagers, who are also unlikely to know their family medical histories. Not that it matters, it's not part of the “informed consent” disclosures she will sign anyway. Imagine 20 years later, while she is going through chemo and radiation treatments for breast cancer, she learns there was a stronger correlation between abortion and breast cancer than smoking and lung cancer. And that the foundation she has financially supported as they “Race For The Cure[®]” receives millions of dollars from the world's largest abortion provider.

Something similar happened in 2002. There were alarming reports that infertility had increased by 400% in just a decade. It turned out there was a huge increase in a sexually transmitted infections, including “Chlamydia,” a bacterial infection that wreaks havoc on the female reproductive system. The STD epidemic inspired several physicians to develop Abstinence Education programs for high schools. Mirroring drug-prevention programs, they taught students the best prevention strategy was to **eliminate** the risk of infection by saving sex until marriage to an uninfected partner.

The sex industry came out in full force to dispute the findings, discredit the methodology, and destroy the researchers. They were wildly successful. It's illegal to teach risk-elimination in many states. Now imagine 20 years later, being one of millions of couples desperate-but-unable to get pregnant, enduring the high cost of infertility treatments, who discover how little protection they got from “be safe, use a condom.”

6th consecutive year of RECORD-BREAKING STD cases



Is it happening again? Last year, there were reports that some women had irregular periods after receiving a COVID vaccine. It wasn't a large number, but for women trying to get pregnant, it was a concern. As epidemiologists pointed out there IS no way to know what the long-term consequences of a *newly created* vaccine are, once again the machine came out in full force to dispute the findings, discredit the methodology, and destroy the researchers. And they were wildly successful. Women who wanted a medical exemption from the vax-mandate at work got fired. And yet, most people haven't heard anything about it.


The question is, 20 years from now, will there be *another* group of women paying the price for whatever information is currently being suppressed? Because it really does feel like déjà vu all over again.

May be copied without permission.
To schedule a PRESENTATION, SINGLES SEMINAR OR MARRIAGE RETREAT.
CALL **1-501-358-1172** OR EMAIL
JUSTASK@POSITIVELYWAITING.COM
VIRTUAL MEETINGS ARE ALSO AN OPTION!

WE APPRECIATE YOUR HELP!



If you like to shop on Amazon, please LOGIN at
smile.amazon.com/ch/30-0222447
When you #StartWithaSmile, Amazon donates 0.5% of the purchase price to Positively Waiting.



COACH'S CORNER

Imagine your car needs a small repair, such as a front wheel alignment. You take it to a mechanic who has multiple 5-star reviews, because you're hoping to develop a long-term car care relationship. But when you return, you find instead of doing the alignment, he has converted your gas-powered car into diesel because in his "expert" opinion, diesel is better. Then he insists **you** pay for the conversion! And to top it off your car *still* needs the alignment!

That's exactly what many parents are feeling about public school education. Moms and dads sent their child to school to learn to read, write, do math, explore science, discover history, and become responsible citizens. Only to discover, public schools have been more focused on promoting gender confusion, climate activism, social justice and tribalism, because in their "expert" opinion *those* are the important subjects, meanwhile failing to teach them to read, write, do math, etc. — while parents are paying the bill (through their taxes) for the experience!

Maybe now is the time to ask, does everyone who has influence on your teen have the same goals as you? Are they teaching from the same roster of ethics? For instance, how would they respond to these questions:

- ◆ Do we agree that our primary goals are to produce adults who can make wise decisions, take responsibility for themselves and their families and be an agent for good in their chosen field and community?
- ◆ Are we each committed to fostering honorable character qualities over fraudulent achievement?

If the answers to those questions are something mushier than "Yes!" maybe its time to consider new partnerships, or perhaps adopt some strategies to counteract that influence.